

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/701,338	11/28/2000	Helmut Lutz	321.39341X00	8701
20457 7	590 10/01/2002			
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET ARL DIGTON, MA. 22200			EXAMINER	
			BERRY, WILLIE WENDELL JR	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2002	<u> </u>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	09/701,338	LUTZ, HELMUT				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Willie Berry, Jr.	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 N</u>	farch 2002					
	s action is non-final.					
, _		osacution as to the morits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-23 is/are pending in the ap	plication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
_						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) L The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/701,338 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 5-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davidson.

Davidson discloses a grinding machine comprising: a stationary container (10), a rotary disk (12) having a raised edge and resilient material (12a), a gap (column 2, lines 1-4), a shaft (17), a casing (14), a gear motor (40), and a sealable outlet (24 and 25).

In regards to claims 1-3, 5-12, and 23 Davidson does not disclose the specific material of the disk, the specific material of the disk covering on the disk underside, and the specific size of the gap.

The specific material of the disk, the specific material of the disk covering, and the specific size of the gap would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, since it is within the general skill of the worker in the art to select material and size on the basis of their suitability for the user's preference as an obvious matter of design choice. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/701,338 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

invention was made to include the disk covering to the underside of the disk, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art

In regards to claims 17-22, Davidson does not disclose the motor and gear being separate and specific location of the motor.

The motor and gear being separate and specific location of the motor would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, since it is within the general skill of the worker in the art to construct a formerly integral structure into various elements and to rearrange parts of an invention on the basis of their suitability for the user's preference as an obvious matter of design choice.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/26/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues Davidson does not disclose a resilient material on the disk underside. The examiner disagrees for the reasons discussed above.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/701,338

Art Unit: 3723

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

· final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Willie

Berry whose telephone number is (703) 308-7467.

Willie Berry, Jr. :wbj

September 28, 2002

Page 4